Tubolar Composites Manufacturing Process

Wrapping Self Evaluation Form – Light Tubolars

Product:		Date:			
Tape Brakin	g Device	Your State	Grade	Weight	Weighted Grade
•	1 – Combined with the tensioning device			-	-
	2 – Separate, manual control	0	0	1	0
	3 – Separate, gradual control (dancing roll)	U	•		U
	4 – Separate, digitally controlled (PLC or PC)				
Tape Tensio	ning Device				
	1 – Combined with the braking device				
	2 – Separate, manual control	0	0	1	0
	3 – Separate, automatic control	Ŭ		•	v
	4 – Separate, digitally controlled (PLC or PC)				
lumber and	Types of Tapes Employed				
	1 – One generic tape of resin containment and release after cooking				
	2 – One specialised tape of resin containment, layers compaction, compression through heat shrinkage, single taping	0	0	1	0
	3 – One specialised tape of resin containment, layers compaction, compression through heat shrinkage, double taping	Ŭ		•	v
	4 - Two or more specialised tapes, each performing resin containment, layers compaction, compression through heat shrinkage functions				
Pitch/Reel V	/idth Ratio (example: pitch 4 millimetres/reel width 20 millimetres=ratio 0,2)				
	1 – Bigger than 0,5				
	2 – Between 0,3 and 0,5				
	3 – Between 0,2 and 0,3	0	0	1	0
	4 – Between 0,1 and 0,2				
	5 – 0,1 or smaller				
ape/Tapes	Tensioning				
	1 – Manual or not controlled tensioning				
	2 – Fully controlled tensioning. Working tension bigger than tape yield stress				
	3 – Manual controlled tensioning. Stable tension	0	0	1,5	0
	4 – Fully controlled tensioning with graduated scale. Working tension slightly smaller than tape yield stress				
	5 – Fully digitally controlled tensioning with a Newton measured graduated scale. Working tension slightly smaller than tape yield stress				
Cooking Re	sin Leakages				
	1 – Copious resin leakages, considerable weight loss				
	2 – Widespread resin leakages between tape spirals	0	0	1	0
	3 – Limited, few resin leakages between tape spirals	, v			v
	4 – Very limited resin loss, mainly on the tubolar heads				
ilm Releas					
	1 – At the end of polymerization the film doesn't release from the composite				
	2 – Partial release, small parts of film are left on the surface	0	0	1	0
	3 – Full release of the tape, by unwrapping or cutting				
Tubolars Su	rface Finish				
	1 – Uneven surface, existence of grooves, grinding impossible.				
	2 – Existence of small holes/resin deficits, grinding needed	0	0	1	0
	3 – Slightly irregular surface, irregular steps on the surface	U	•		U
	4 – Surface finish as requested (shiny, matt, coloured), light steps on the surface				
Structural C	ompactness of the Tubolar				
	1 – Non compacted structure, existence of faults, possible fractures				
	2 – Compacted structure	0	0	1,5	0
	3 – Well compacted and squeezed structure, low resonance frequency	U	•	1,5	U
	4 – Very well compacted and squeezed structure, high resonance frequency				
	Total Grade of the Wrapping Process				0
	Total Grade of the Wrapping Process				U
ight Tubola	In Thickness of the composite wall 1-3 millimetres, limited structural features	Updated			2017-09-
		opualeu			2017-09-
comment:	Grades of each question can vary from 1 to 10.				
	6 is the minimum acceptable value.				
	The overall grade "weights" the importance of each question on the whole grade				
	Overall graduation is from 1 to 100.				
	In this case, due to the calculation algorythm, 60 could not be the minimum acceptable value.				

In this case, due to the calculation algorythm, 60 could not be the minimum acceptable value. Hence overall grade has to be considered as a measurement in comparison with state of the art, with the best practise (100). With any grade bigger than 0 you should be able to get a tubolar composite, whatsoever. If in any question the grade you get is lower than 6 the manufacturing process has: - some flaws (grades 4 or 5), which may compromise the final outcome; - heavy flaws (grades 1, 2 or 3), which very likely heavilly compromise the final outcome. For any grade lower than 6 we suggest you to intervene and, if possible, to modify the manufacturing process Grades are based on our present best knowledge, and could be changed without advise Evolution models are uncompared and will be environment to the final outcome.

Evalutation models are experimental and will be reviewed thanks to the feedbacks obtained from users

Updated 2017-09-11

Tubolar Composites Manufacturing Process

Wrapping Self Evaluation Form – Structural Tubolars

	Date:				
ape Braking Device	Your State	Grade	Weight	Weighted Grad	
1 – Combined with the tensioning device					
2 – Separate, manual control 3 – Separate, gradual control (dancing roll)	0	0	1	0	
4 – Separate, giradua controli (Clarcing foin) 4 – Separate, digitally controlled (PLC or PC)					
ape Tensioning Device					
1 – Combined with the braking device					
2 – Separate, manual control	0	0	1	0	
3 – Separate, automatic control	0		.1	U	
4 – Separate, digitally controlled (PLC or PC)					
lumber and Types of Tapes Employed					
1 – One generic tape of resin containment and release after cooking					
2 – One specialised tape of resin containment, layers compaction, compression through heat shrinkage, single taping	0				
3 – One specialised tape of resin containment, layers compaction, compression through heat shrinkage, double taping 4 – Two specialised tapes, each performing resin containment, layers compaction, compression through heat shrinkage functions	U				
4 - I no specialised tapes, each periorming resin containment, agers compaction, compression timough near similarage functions 5 - Three or more specialised tapes, each periorming resin containment, layers compaction, compression through heat shrinkage function;					
f you have answered 4 or 5 at the previous answer, fill in the following 3 answers, otherwise leave the field at 0					
Compacting Tape					
Do you use a tape specifically designed to compact prepreg layers?					
1 – No	0	0	2	0	
2 – Yes	Ū		4	U	
ompression Tape					
Do you use a tape specifically designed to compress the tubolar through heat shrinkage?					
1 – No 2 – Yes	0				
Z – Yes leat Shield Tape					
Do you use a tape specifically designed for making an heat shield?					
1 – No	-				
2 – Yes	0				
vitch/Reel Width Ratio (example: pitch 4 millimetres/reel width 20 millimetres=ratio 0,2)			-		
1 – Bigger than 0,5					
2 – Between 0,3 and 0,5					
3 – Between 0,2 and 0,3	0	0	1	0	
4 – Between 0,1 and 0,2					
5 – 0,1 or smaller					
ape/Tapes Tensioning					
1 – Manual or not controlled tensioning					
2 – Fully controlled tensioning. Working tension bigger than tape yield stress	0	0	4 5	0	
3 – Manual controlled tensioning. Stable tension 4. Fully controlled tensioning with sordwitchd code. Working tension elicibility smaller than tane yield strace.	0		1,5	U	
4 – Fully controlled tensioning with graduated scale. Working tension slightly smaller than tape yield stress 5 – Fully digitally controlled tensioning with a Newton measured graduated scale. Working tension slightly smaller than tape yield stress					
5 - rung ugitang controlled tensioning with a rewich measured graduated scale. Working tension signal smaller than tape yield suess cooking Resin Leakages					
1 – Copious resin leakages, considerable weight loss					
2 – Widespread resin leakages between tape spirals					
3 – Limited, few resin leakages between tape spirals	0	0	0,5	0	
4 – Very limited resin loss, mainly on the tubolar heads					
ilm Release					
1 – At the end of polymerization the film doesn't release from the composite					
2 – Partial release, small parts of film are left on the surface	0	0	0,5	0	
3 – Full release of the tape, by unwrapping or cutting					
ubolars Surface Finish					
1 – Uneven surface, existence of grooves, grinding impossible.					
2 – Existence of small holes/resin deficits, grinding needed	0	0	0,5	0	
3 – Slightly irregular surface, irregular steps on the surface	v		0,5	U	
4 – Surface finish as requested (shiny, matt, coloured), light steps on the surface					
Structural Compactness of the Tubolar					
1 – Non compacted structure, existence of faults, possible fractures					
2 – Compacted structure	0	0	2	0	
3 – Well compacted and squeezed structure, low resonance frequency	, v		-	•	
4 – Very well compacted and squeezed structure, high resonance frequency					
Total Grade of the Wrapping Process				0	
Structural TutComposite wall thickness 3-5 millimetres, excellent structural or dinamic performances:					
Ex: high end sporting equipments (roubasienne fishing rods, windsurf masts, golf shafts, paddles, ski poles)					
Composite wall thickness 5-8 millimetres, excellent structural or dinamic performances:					
Ex: structural items (industrial rolls, sleeves and printing plates rolls, rubber covederd rolls)					
Composite wall thickness >= 10 millimetres, heavy duty items:					
Ex: items for sailing or aviation (sailing masts, air fuselages, carbon riggings)					
	Updated			2017-09	
Comment: Grades of each question can vary from 1 to 10.					
6 is the minimum acceptable value.					
The overall grade "weights" the importance of each question on the whole grade					
Overall graduation is from 1 to 100.					
In this case, due to the calculation algorythm, 60 could not be the minimum acceptable value.					
Hence overall grade has to be considered as a measurement in comparison with state of the art, with the best practise (100).					
With any grade bigger than 0 you should be able to get a tubolar composite whatsoever					
If in any grade bigger than 0 you should be able to get a tubolar composite whatsoever					
If in any question the grade you get is lower than 6 the manufacturing process has: - some flaws (grades 4 or 5), which may compromise the final outcome;					
If in any question the grade you get is lower than 6 the manufacturing process has:					
If in any question the grade you get is lower than 6 the manufacturing process has: - some flaws (grades 4 or 5), which may compromise the final outcome;					
If in any question the grade you get is lower than 6 the manufacturing process has: - some flaws (grades 4 or 5), which may compromise the final outcome; - heavy flaws (grades 1, 2 or 3), which very likely heavilly compromise the final outcome.					

Tubolar Composites Manufacturing Process

Polymerization Self Evaluation Form

Product:	oduct:			Date:			
Oven		Your State	Grade	Weight	Weighted Grade		
	1 – Conventional oven, with thermostat on the heating elements.			-	-		
	2 – Convection (fan-assisted) oven, uniform temperatures, manual thermostats						
	3 – Convection (fan-assisted) oven, uniform temperatures, programmable thermostats.	0	0	2	0		
	4 - Convection (fan-assisted) oven, uniform temperatures, with controlled (PC or PLC) cycle and temperature curve.						
	5 - Autoclave with controlled (PC or PLC) cycle, temperature curve and pressure.						
Tubolar Pos	sition during Polymerization						
	1 – Laid down						
	2 – Laid down, with rotating device during the cooking	0	0	3	0		
	3 – Standing			-	•		
Cooking Cy	vcle and Temperature Curves						
	1 – Single curve (heating up, cooking, cooling down)						
	2 – With an "S" curve, manual control	•	0		•		
	3 – With an "S" curve, automatic control	0		2,5	0		
	4 – With an "S" curve, fully digitally temperature and pressure controlled						
Shape of th	ne Tubolar Obtained						
	1 – Not straight, bended on the axis.						
	2 – Heavilly oval, elliptical cross section. Heavy grinding needed						
	3 – Slightly oval, elliptical cross section. Light grinding needed.	0	0	2,5	0		
	4 – Circular cross section. Light grinding needed.	-		_,•	•		
	5 – Circular cross section. Grinding is optional						
	Total Grade of the Polymerization Process				0		
		Updated			2017-09-		
Comment:	Grades of each question can vary from 1 to 10.						

6 is the minimum acceptable value.

The overall grade "weights" the importance of each question on the whole grade

Overall grade weights the importance of each question on the whole grade Overall graduation is from 1 to 100. In this case, due to the calculation algorythm, 60 could not be the minimum acceptable value. Hence overall grade has to be considered as a measurement in comparison with state of the art, with the best practise (100). With any grade bigger than 0 you should be able to get a tubolar composite whatsoever

If in any question the grade you get is lower than 6 the manufacturing process has: - some flaws (grades 4 or 5), which may compromise the final outcome; - heavy flaws (grades 1, 2 or 3), which very likely heavily compromise the final outcome.

For any grade lower than 6 we suggest you to intervene and, if possible, to modify the manufacturing process Grades are based on our present best knowledge, and could be changed without advise Evalutation models are experimental and will be reviewed thanks to the feedbacks obtained from users

Updated 2017-09-11